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## Introduction

Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{x, z} & \sum_{k} f_{k}(x)+a^{\top} x+c^{\top} z \\
\text { s.t. } & x_{i}\left(1-z_{i}\right)=0, z_{i} \in\{0,1\} \\
& x_{i} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

other constraints on $(x, z)$,
where each $f_{k}$ is convex.
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## Introduction

Assumption Each $f_{k}$ is a low-rank closed convex function

## Definition (Rank; Rockafellar (1970))

The rank of $f$ is the smallest integer $k$ such that $f(x)=g(A x)+c^{\top} x$ for some convex function $g: \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$

Examples

- $f(x)=c^{\top} x$, then $\operatorname{rank}(f)=0$
- $f(x)=\log \left(\exp \left(a^{\top} x\right)+1\right)+c^{\top} x$, then $\operatorname{rank}(f)=1$
- $f(x)=g\left(a^{\top} x\right)+g\left(b^{\top} x\right)$, then $\operatorname{rank}(f)=2$
- $f(x)=x^{\top} Q x+c^{\top} x$, then $\operatorname{rank}(f)=\operatorname{rank}(Q)$


## Motivating application I - portfolio optimization
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MIQP reformulation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{x, z} & \left(x-x_{B}\right)^{\top} Q\left(x-x_{B}\right) \\
\text { s.t. } & x \geq 0, \sum_{i \in[n]} x_{i}=1 \\
& x_{i}\left(1-z_{i}\right)=0, z_{i} \in\{0,1\} \forall i \in[n] \\
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- $z_{i}=0 \Rightarrow x_{i}=0$
- Covariances are estimated from a factor model (Bienstock (1996))

$$
Q=F F^{\top}
$$

where $F \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{k}, k \leq 20$ is small
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- Smooth
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- Outliers
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## Motivation application II - signal denoising

Signal denoising problem Given the noisy observations $c \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of a temporal process, consider

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{x, v, z, w} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{\left(x_{i}-v_{i}-c_{i}\right)^{2}}_{\text {fitness+robustness }}+\Omega \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{n} \underbrace{\left(x_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \alpha^{j} x_{i-\ell+j-1}\right)^{2}}_{\text {smoothness }} \\
\text { s.t. } x_{i}\left(1-z_{i}\right)=0, z_{i} \in\{0,1\} \forall i \in[n], \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i} \leq k_{1} \\
v_{i}\left(1-w_{i}\right)=0, w_{i} \in\{0,1\} \forall i \in[n], \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \leq k_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

- $w_{i}=0: v_{i}=0 \Rightarrow c_{i}$ is not an outlier
- $w_{i}=1: x_{i}-v_{i}-c_{i}=0 \Rightarrow c_{i}$ is an outlier


## Introduction

MINLP with low-rank structure

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{x, z} \sum_{k} f_{k}(x)+a^{\top} x+c^{\top} z \\
& \text { s.t. } x_{i}\left(1-z_{i}\right)=0, z_{i} \in\{0,1\} \\
& x_{i} \geq 0 \\
& \forall i \in[n] \\
& \forall i \in \mathcal{I}_{+} \subseteq[n]
\end{aligned}
$$

other constraints on $(x, z)$,
To solve it efficiently, we study

$$
\mathcal{Q}=\left\{(t, x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times\{0,1\}^{n}: \begin{array}{rl}
t \geq f(x), x_{i} & \geq 0 \forall i \in \mathcal{I}_{+} \\
x_{i}\left(1-z_{i}\right) & =0 \forall i \in[n]
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Goal: Compute cl conv $\mathcal{Q}$

## Literature review

Known cases for $\mathrm{cl} \operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{Q})$

$$
\mathcal{Q}=\left\{(t, x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times\{0,1\}^{n}: \begin{array}{r}
t \geq f(x), x_{i} \geq 0 \forall i \in \mathcal{I}_{+} \\
x_{i}\left(1-z_{i}\right)=0 \forall i \in[n]
\end{array}\right\}
$$

- $k=\operatorname{rank}(f)$, Quad $=$ Quadratic, Conv $=$ General Convex

| $k$ or $n$ | $f$ | $\mathcal{I}_{+}=\emptyset(x$ free $)$ | $\mathcal{I}_{+}=[n](x \geq 0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n=1$ |  | Ceria et al.(1999), Frangioni et al.(2006), Aktürk et al.(2009), etc. |  |
| $k=1$ | Quad | Atamtürk et al. (2019) | Atamtürk et al. (2023) |
|  | Conv | Wei et al. (2022) | Shafieezadeh-Abadeh et al. (2023) |
| $n=2$ | Quad | $?$ | Han et al. (2023), De Rosa et al. (2023) |
|  | $k \geq 2$ |  | $?$ | $?$ |
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This work $\checkmark: \underbrace{\text { Compact }}_{\mathcal{O}\left(n^{k}\right)}$ description of $\operatorname{cl} \operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{Q})$ using disjunctive programming
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$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ to our setting:

$$
\mathcal{Q}=\left\{(t, x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times\{0,1\}^{n}: \begin{array}{rl}
t \geq f(x), x_{i} & \geq 0 \forall i \in \mathcal{I}_{+} \\
x_{i}\left(1-z_{i}\right) & =0 \forall i \in[n]
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## Proposition (Han and Gómez (2021))

If $f$ is positively homogeneous, i.e. $f(\lambda x)=\lambda f(x)$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$, then

$$
\text { cl conv } \mathcal{Q}=\left\{(t, x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times[0,1]^{n}: \begin{array}{r}
t \geq f(x), x_{i} \geq 0 \forall i \in \mathcal{I}_{+} \\
x_{1}(1 \\
z_{i}
\end{array}\right)=0 \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
n+1
\end{array}\right\} .
$$
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& =: \bigcup_{\mathcal{I} \subseteq[n]} \underbrace{\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{I}) \times \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I})}_{\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{I})}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{I})$ is convex and $\operatorname{conv} \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I})=\left\{z \in[0,1]^{n}: z_{i}=1 \forall i \in \mathcal{I}\right\}$
Still $2^{n}$ disjunctions! $\Rightarrow$ Exploit the low-rank structure $f(x)=g(A x)$

## Convex hull description of $\mathcal{Q}$

## Theorem (Han and Gómez (2021))

Assume $\operatorname{rank}(f) \leq k$ and $f(0)=0$. Then

$$
\operatorname{clconv}(\mathcal{Q})=\operatorname{clconv}\left(\left(\bigcup_{\mathcal{I}:|\mathcal{I}| \leq k} \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I}) \cup \mathcal{R}\right)\right)
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left\{(t, x, z): t \geq 0, A x=0, x_{i} \geq 0, \forall i \in \mathcal{I}_{+}, z_{i}=1, \forall i \in[n]\right\}
$$

- $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I})$ : "extreme points" of $\mathrm{cl} \operatorname{conv} \mathcal{Q}$
- $\mathcal{R}$ : "extreme rays" of $\mathrm{cl} \operatorname{conv} \mathcal{Q}$
- $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{k}\right)$ number of disjunctions


## Convex hull description of $\mathcal{Q}$

Proof outline.
Consider

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min a^{\top} x+c^{\top} z+g(A x) \\
\text { s.t. } x_{i} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{I}_{+} \\
x \circ(1-z)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Assume $(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$ is the optimal solution to (MINLP).
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Assume $(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$ is the optimal solution to (MINLP). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min a^{\top} x+g(A \bar{x}) \\
& \text { s.t. } A x=A \bar{x} \\
& \quad \bar{x}_{i} x_{i} \geq 0 \quad \forall i  \tag{LP}\\
& \\
& x_{i}=0 \quad \forall i: \bar{z}_{i}=0
\end{align*}
$$

has an optimal solution $\hat{x}$ with at most $\operatorname{rank}(A)=k$ nonzero entries. Moreover, $(\hat{x}, \bar{z})$ is optimal to (MINLP).

## Convex hull description of $\mathcal{Q}$

Proof outline.
Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min a^{\top} x+c^{\top} z+g(A x) \\
& \text { s.t. } x_{i} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{I}_{+} \\
& x \circ(1-z)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume $(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$ is the optimal solution to (MINLP). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min a^{\top} x+g(A \bar{x}) \\
& \text { s.t. } A x=A \bar{x} \\
& \quad \bar{x}_{i} x_{i} \geq 0 \quad \forall i  \tag{LP}\\
& \\
& x_{i}=0 \quad \forall i: \bar{z}_{i}=0
\end{align*}
$$

has an optimal solution $\hat{x}$ with at most $\operatorname{rank}(A)=k$ nonzero entries.
Moreover, $(\hat{x}, \bar{z})$ is optimal to (MINLP).
$\Rightarrow(\hat{x}, \bar{z}) \in$ a certain $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I})$ with $|\mathcal{I}| \leq k$.

## Implementation: perspective function

## Definition (Perspective function)

Given a closed convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, its perspective function $f^{\pi}(x, \lambda)$ is defined as

$$
f^{\pi}(x, \lambda)= \begin{cases}\lambda f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) & \text { if } \lambda>0 \\ \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) & \text { if } \lambda=0 \\ +\infty & \text { o.w. }\end{cases}
$$

- $f^{\pi}$ is closed, convex, positively homogeneous
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Given a closed convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, its perspective function $f^{\pi}(x, \lambda)$ is defined as

$$
f^{\pi}(x, \lambda)= \begin{cases}\lambda f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) & \text { if } \lambda>0 \\ \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) & \text { if } \lambda=0 \\ +\infty & \text { o.w }\end{cases}
$$

- $f^{\pi}$ is closed, convex, positively homogeneous

Example $f(x)=x^{2}$
$\Rightarrow f^{\pi}(x, \lambda)= \begin{cases}x^{2} / \lambda & \text { if } \lambda>0 \\ 0 & \text { if }(x, \lambda)=0 \\ +\infty & \text { o.w. }\end{cases}$


## Case study

Rank-one case $f=g\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}\right)$

- New DP representation + low rank $\Rightarrow$


## Proposition (Han and Gómez (2021))

Point $(t, x, z) \in \operatorname{clconv} \mathcal{Q}$ if and only if there exists $\lambda, \tau \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that the following inequality system is consistent

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{\pi}\left(a_{i}\left(x_{i}-\tau_{i}\right), \lambda_{i}\right), \\
& a^{\top} \tau=0,0 \leq \tau_{i} \leq x_{i} \forall i \in \mathcal{I}_{+}, \\
& \lambda_{i} \leq z_{i} \leq 1 \forall i \in[n], \\
& \lambda \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

## More discussion in rank-one case

| $k$ or $n$ | $f$ | $\mathcal{I}_{+}=\emptyset(x$ free $)$ | $\mathcal{I}_{+}=[n](x \geq 0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n=1$ |  | Ceria et al.(1999), Frangioni et al.(2006), Aktürk et al.(2009), etc. |  |
| $k=1$ | Quad | Atamtürk et al. (2019) | Atamtürk et al. (2023) |
|  | Conv | Wei et al. (2022) | Shafieezadeh-Abadeh et al. (2023) |
| $n=2$ | Quad | $\checkmark$ | Han et al. (2023), De Rosa et al. (2023) |
|  | $k \geq 2$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
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- Our results: more compact extended formulation $(\mathcal{O}(n)$ in total)
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## Experimental results - portfolio optimization

Cutting surface implementation v.s. Extended formulation


Figure: Number of instances solved as a function of time.

- Average time: cutting surface 1.79 s v.s. extended formulation 0.78 s
- Maximum time: cutting surface 13.3 s v.s. extended formulation 2.6 s


## Experimental results - signal denoising

Rank-two v.s. Rank-one v.s. Big-M


Figure: Number of instances solved as a function of time

## Agenda

(1) Introduction
(2) Main results - convex hull description
(3) Conclusions

## Take home message

- New DP representation for low-rank functions with indicator variables
- Compact extended formulation for convex hull description
- More efficient implementation in practice

Our paper is available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.14884
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Thank You!
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